

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
11 Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. CR 05-391 RSM  
12 v. )  
13 MICHAEL R. STEWART, ) DETENTION ORDER  
14 Defendant. )  
15

Offense charged: CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Date of Detention Hearing: November 23, 2005

19 The Court, having conducted a contested detention hearing pursuant to Title 18  
20 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention  
21 hereafter set forth, finds that no condition or combination of conditions which the defendant  
22 can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety  
23 of any other person and the community.

24 The Government was represented by Ron Friedman and Rich Cohen.

25 The defendant was represented by John Crowley.

## DETENTION ORDER

PAGE -1-

1                   FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

2                   (1) There is probable cause to believe the defendant committed the drug  
3                   offense of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances.

4                   The maximum penalty is in excess of ten years.

5                   There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against the defendant's  
6                   release based upon both dangerousness and flight risk, under Title 18  
7                   U.S.C. § 3142(e).

8                   (2) Nothing in this record satisfactorily rebuts the presumption against  
9                   release for several reasons: Using the factors below, under Title 18 §  
10                   3142 (g), the Court considered the following:

11                   (a) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including  
12                   whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic  
13                   drug. Here, the Defendant Michael Stewart is alleged to have  
14                   assisted co-defendant Ian Fuhr, the leader of this conspiracy to  
15                   distribute cocaine, cocaine base and oxycodone and marijuana.  
16                   This conspiracy was investigated through the use of a court-  
17                   authorized wiretap. Upon execution of a search warrant at his  
18                   residence, a quarter kilogram of cocaine was seized, along with  
19                   \$8,000 found in his vehicle. He also possessed a cell phone  
20                   purchased by Ian Fuhr as part of furthering the drug distribution.

21                   (b) The weight of the evidence. From the evidence taken during the  
22                   search of his residence, the weight of the Government's evidence  
23                   against this defendant is strong.

24                   (c) The history and characteristics of the person, including:  
25                   The person's character, physical and mental condition, family  
26                   ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the

community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, record concerning appearance at court proceedings, and whether at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence of an offense under Federal, State, or local law. There are a number of failures to appear to court hearings spanning the past several years.

d) Risk of danger to the community.

Here the government argues that in addition to the risk of continued involvement in drug sales and trafficking, this defendant poses a danger of committing violent crimes against others. His has criminal convictions for Rendering Criminal Assistance in the First Degree and three VUCSAs.

(3) Based upon the foregoing information which is consistent with the recommendation of U.S. Pre-trial Services, it appears that there is no condition or combination of conditions that would reasonably assure future Court appearances and/or the safety of other persons or the community.

**It is therefore ORDERED:**

- (1) The defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- (2) The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private

1                   consultation with counsel;

2                   (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for  
3                   the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which  
4                   the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States  
5                   Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court  
6                   proceeding; and  
7                   (4) The clerk shall direct copies of this order to counsel for the United  
8                   States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to  
9                   the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

10                  DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2005.

11  
12  
13                    
14

15                  MONICA J. BENTON  
16                  United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26